AP/IFW



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Before the Patent Office Board of Appeals

APPLICANT: Fred D. Oberhaus

SERIAL NO: 10/676,980

FILED: October 1, 2003

FOR: Componentry Assembled Free

Standing Wire Rack

GAU: 3637

EXAMINER: Purol, Sarah L.

St. Louis, Missouri

Date: May 21, 2010

DN: 7349

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the

U.S. Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Mexandria, VA 22313-1450, on

Attorney

Date of Signature

Director, Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

REPLY BRIEF FOR APPLICANT

Paul M. Denk Attorney for Applicant Pat. Office Reg. No. 22,598 763 South New Ballas Road, Ste. 305 St. Louis, Missouri 63141 (314) 872-8136

REMARKS

Applicant herein submits an Amendment B, after Final Rejection, and after Briefing on Appeal, in response to the Examiner's new grounds for rejection.

If the Examiner wishes to reopen prosecution, in light of the amendments made herein, she should feel free to do so.

Respectfully Submitted,

Daul M. Donk

Attorney for Applicant

Patent Office Registration No. 22,598 763 S. New Ballas Road, Suite 305

St. Louis, MO 63141

(314) 872-8136

PMD/kf



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT: Fred D. Oberhaus

SERIAL NO: 10/676,980

FILED: October 1, 2003

FOR: Componentry Assembled Free

Standing Wire Rack

GAU: 3637

EXAMINER: Purol, Sarah L.

St. Louis, Missouri

Date: May 21, 2010

DN: 7349

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA_22313-1450, on

Attorney

Date of Signature

Honorable Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT B AFTER BRIEFING ON APPEAL AND IN RESPONSE TO EXAMINER'S NEW GROUNDS OF REJECTION SET FORTH IN EXAMINER'S ANSWER

Sir:

This is in response to the examiner's new grounds for rejection dated May 11, 2010, in the appeal before the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, for the above identified application.

The examiner added a new grounds for rejection of claims 4 – 14 stating that the claimed invention is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Jurasek, U.S. patent No. 3,680,712. This is essentially a repeat of the rejection set forth by the examiner in the initial rejection dated October 12, 2007.

Amendments to these claims begin on page 2.

Remarks to this amendment begin on page 4.